

Examining Mexican-Heritage Mothers' Perceptions of Their Children's Weight: Comparison of Silhouette and Categorical Survey Methods

Melawhy L. Garcia, MPH, PhD,¹ Noe C. Crespo, PhD,²
Alma I. Behar, MPH,² Gregory A. Talavera, MD, MPH,³ Nadia Campbell, MPH,⁴
Lisa M. Shadron, BS,⁴ and John P. Elder, PhD, MPH²

Abstract

Background: Failure to recognize children's overweight status by parents may contribute to children's risk for obesity. We examined two methods of measuring mothers' perceptions of children's weight and factors associated with weight perception inaccuracy.

Methods: Cross-sectional analyses of clinical and self-report data from 287 Mexican-heritage mother-child dyads. Mothers identified their child's weight category using a scale (e.g., normal/overweight/obese) and a visual silhouette scale (11 child gender-specific weight-varying images). Children's height and weight were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI). Chi-square tests examined associations between categorical, silhouette, and BMI percentile categories of children's weight. Bivariate logistic regression analyses examined factors associated with underestimation (OR=1.99; 95% CI: 1.02-3.86), child age [younger age] (AOR=10.39; 95% CI: 1.05-8.51; obese status predictor of mothers' overestimation (AOR=0.89; 95% CI: 0.85-0.94).

Body weight may be a more accurate tool for clinicians and interventionists to use compared to using traditional categorical weight-labeling methods.

perceptions; weight perceptions

Introduction

Hispanic/Latino children are disproportionately affected by obesity in the United States.¹⁻⁴ Youth of Mexican and Puerto Rican heritage experience the fastest increases in weight compared to other Hispanic/Latino (hereafter referred to as Hispanic) subpopulations.^{5,6}

Approximately 39% of youth of Mexican heritage, aged

fatty liver disease, obstructive sleep apnea,¹⁰ and psychological distress.¹¹ Moreover, these risk factors may track into adulthood and increase risk for cardiovascular disease such as high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, impaired glucose tolerance, and vascular abnormalities.¹²

Overweight in youth of Mexican heritage represents a significant health disparity that needs to be addressed through effective and culturally tailored prevention efforts targeting multilevel factors that influence children's weight. Evidence supports that parents (or caregivers) play an integral role in their children's weight.^{9,13-15} Parents are responsible for structuring their children's home environment and daily lifestyles¹⁶ and, thus, can shape their children's eating and physical activity behaviors.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ However, despite public health efforts to raise awareness regarding obesity, parents of children with overweight often fail to recognize their children with overweight or obesity.²⁰ Among Hispanics, sociocultural factors play an important role in obesity-related attitudes and behaviors.^{4,21-23}

Consistent evidence indicates that parents from Hispanic-

measures (i.e., height and weight) using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III Anthropometric Procedures.⁴³ Trained and bilingual staff collected survey and anthropometric measures. The **Lucas de Cambi** study methods have been published elsewhere.⁴² All study procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at San Diego State University.

Measures

Parental perceptions of child's weight. Perceptions of weight were assessed using two methods: (1) selection of a weight category and (2) selection of one silhouette from a range of 11 figures. Data for the first method, selection of a weight category, were obtained using one survey item asking parents to identify their child's current weight status (Describe your child's current weight). Parents were provided with five response options in English (1) markedly underweight, (2) underweight, (3) normal weight, (4) overweight, and (5) markedly overweight or Spanish (1) notablemente bajo de peso (2) bajo de peso (3) peso normal (4) pasado/a de peso and (5) notablemente pasado/a de peso.

For analyses, response options one (1) and two (2) were combined as underweight. Data collected with this categorical item were also used to compute one new variable by comparing the parent's selected category to their child's study measured BMI percentile weight category. The variable included three options as follows: (1) accurate, (2) inaccurate underestimated, and (3) inaccurate overestimated.

The second method involved parents selecting one silhouette from a visual scale. The scale was created using computer graphics based on pictures of human models of corresponding BMIs. The graphic artist followed methodological recommendations on contour drawing composition from Gardner's et al.⁴⁴ to develop two sets of cards, one set for adults (test-retest $r = 0.84$, $p < 0.01$) and one set for children (test-retest $r = 0.61$, $p < 0.01$).⁴⁵ The children's set includes 22 silhouettes (11 for each gender) presented in separate cards (dimensions 12.5 × 6.5 cm) ranging from underweight to obese models.

Parents were presented with the 11 cards corresponding to their child's gender, asked to look at the silhouettes, and to point at the silhouette that they felt most accurately represented their child's current body type (recorded as perceived silhouette-based weight). The card number selected was recorded, as well as corresponding BMI for that specific silhouette. The silhouettes were designed to fit within a BMI range listed on the back of each card. The BMIs recorded were entered to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) online BMI calculator to determine the child's BMI percentile for comparison with child's study measured BMI percentile. Based on accuracy, a new variable was created; the variable included three options as follows: (1) accurate, (2) inaccurate underestimated, and (3) inaccurate overestimated.

Body mass index. Child and parent BMI (kg/m^2) were calculated using height and weight measurements and then classified using the 2000 CDC Growth Charts for children:

normal weight (5th to <85th), overweight (85th to <95th), and obese (≥ 95 th), and adult age- and sex-specific categories: underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5–24.9), overweight (25.0–29.9), and obese (30.0 and above).⁴⁶

Demographic characteristics. The interviewer-administered surveys included questions concerning the characteristics of parents and children. Children's demographic characteristics included: age, gender, and country of birth. Parent's demographic characteristics included: age, sex, country of birth, language preference, and highest educational level.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize child and parent demographic characteristics, as well as weight status. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare children's measured BMI percentile categories (i.e., normal, overweight, obese) with parents' selected weight category (i.e., underweight, normal weight, overweight, markedly overweight). Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were also used to compare silhouette-derived weight categories (i.e., underweight, normal, overweight, obese) with study measured BMI percentile categories. After comparison with actual BMI percentile categories, Chi-square Fisher's exact test was used to evaluate accuracy between the mothers' selected child weight categories and silhouette-based weight categories.

Bivariate logistic regression roomodession.6(BM)T8.1(omodc[(

the present analyses due to being few in number, leaving an analytic sample size of 287 children and their mothers (total participants 574 included for analysis). Socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample are shown in Table 1. The majority of mothers were Spanish speakers (93.4%) and born in Mexico (68.3%). The mean BMI for mothers was 32.0 (standard deviation [SD] = 6.8), and the majority were classified as overweight (30.9%) or obese (57.5%). As for children, the mean age was 8.1 (SD = 1.5), and half of the sample was male (50.5%). Children's weight categories were as follows: normal weight (16.7%), overweight (39.0%), or obese (44.3%).

Mothers' Perception of Their Child's Weight

Figure 1 shows the comparison between the child's measured BMI percentile-based weight categories and mother's selected weight categories. Only 13.4% of mothers accurately identified their child as obese, and 37.5% of mothers of children with overweight accurately identified their child as overweight. Figure 2 shows the comparison between the child's study measured BMI percentile-based weight categories and mother's selected silhouette-based weight categories. Using the silhouette method, 78% of mothers of children with obesity accurately identified their child as obese. A comparison of accuracy between the two methods is shown in Figure 3. Among the mothers who underestimated by selecting weight categories, 60.3% accurately identified their child's weight using the silhouettes.

Results of logistic regression of predictors of inaccuracy for the two methods are shown in Table 2. In model 1, using the categorical method, in adjusted bivariate logistic regression, mothers were more likely to underestimate their children's weight if their child was female (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 1.99), younger between the ages of 5–6 years old (AOR = 10.39), and ages 7–8 years old (AOR = 3.87) compared to older children ages 9–10 years old. Furthermore, mothers of children with higher BMI percentile (AOR = 1.32) or who were overweight (AOR = 2.38) or obese (AOR =

their child's actual weight when they selected a weight category (i.e., underweight, normal, overweight, and obese) compared to when they selected a silhouette to depict their child's body type. These results are consistent with previous studies that show that parents underestimate their child's weight when selecting a category, especially among children with obesity.⁴¹ Based on previous research among Hispanic parents, a possible explanation for greater underestimation using weight categories may be due to the mother's resistance to label her child as obese or overweight as these labels are

often associated with negative stereotypes among this population and may lead to the parent feeling guilty or at fault for their child's weight.⁴⁷ Furthermore, research suggests that mothers may perceive their children with overweight or obesity as normal weight as they may not look considerably different from other children in the family or in their age group.⁴⁸




Figure 3. Comparison of accuracy of mothers' selected silhouette-based weight with weight based categories (n=287). C, category-based weight accuracy; S, silhouette-based weight accuracy. Fisher's exact test value 0.00.

	e 1 (n = 277)	e 2 (n = 22)	e 3 (n = 22)
Child's age (years)			
5–6	10.3 (4.16 25. 2)**	1.01 (0.49–2.08)	10.6 (0.46–2.44)
7–8	3. 7 (1.71 .74)**	0.91 (0.44–1.87)	1.04 (0.46–2.34)
9–10	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Child's gender			
Female	1. (1.02 3. 6)*	0.56 (0.31–1.02)	0.65 (0.33–1.28)
Male	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Child's BMI percentile	1.32 (1.23 1.42)**	0.95 (0.91–1.00)	0. (0. 5 0. 4)**
Parent's education level			
£12th grade	0.65 (0.32–1.31)	0.75 (0.40–1.39)	0.87 (0.43–1.76)
>12th grade	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Parent's BMI			
Underweight/normal	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Overweight	2. (1.05 .51)*	1.87 (0.54–6.40)	1.03 (0.38–2.78)
Obese	5.1 (1. 14.1)**	2.51 (0.80–7.88)	0.58 (0.22–1.51)

^aModel 1: dependent variable is perception of weight based on categorical variable (reference category); includes child's age, gender, BMI percentile, parent's educational level, and BMI. The child's BMI percentile was included instead of BMI categories due to small sample size.

^bModels 2 and 3: dependent variable is perception of weight based on gure scale (reference category); includes child's age, gender, BMI percentile, parent's educational level, and BMI. The child's BMI percentile was included instead of BMI categories due to small sample size.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

silhouettes that corresponded to varying BMI. This finding is consistent with another study that found child sketches are a more sensitive way of assessing a child's weight than using weight categories.³³ The silhouette method may result in greater accuracy given that the image is not coupled with a negative weight-based label, which may deter the parent from selecting a silhouette that may be labeled as obese. It seems that the silhouettes offer a more nuanced range of weight categories (11 figures) that can allow the parent to be more precise with how they perceive their child's body type without associating the body type with a label. However, without proper counseling or health education about recommended weight, parents may go without recognizing their children's overweight status.

The second aim of the study was to identify mother and child characteristics associated with mothers' inaccurate perceptions of their children's weight. In this study, using the categorical weight perception method, the child's age (younger) predicted inaccuracy using the categorical weight scale when controlling for maternal education level and BMI. These findings are in agreement with previous studies noting that parents are more accurate with their child's weight when their child is older,^{30,32} as parents are more likely to express concerns about weight as children age.

In contrast to previous research, mothers were more likely to underestimate their female child's weight in this study. In other studies among Hispanic/Latino parents, researchers found that parents were more likely to monitor their daughters' weight and other activities (i.e., after-school activities) and were less likely to monitor the weight of their sons due to cultural norms related to gender.^{49,50} Interestingly, only BMI percentile was associated with parent accuracy derived from the silhouette method. It is possible that the sample size may have limited the ability to detect statistically significant associations in this analysis. Alternatively, the findings show that mothers are able to describe their child's body type when not associating the body type with a weight label, and therefore, predictors such as age, education, and mother's BMI would not influence accuracy.

Limitations

There were several limitations that affect the generalizability of the study findings. The cross-sectional design of this study limits conclusions regarding causality. Furthermore, the study findings cannot be generalized to all Hispanic/Latino heritage groups. Participants in this study were primarily of Mexican heritage residing in the U.S./Mexico border region. In addition, the weight perception accuracy reported in this study is that of Mexican-heritage mothers and may not reflect perceptions of fathers or male caretakers. Another limitation is the differences in the two methods used to examine accuracy as they are unable to be directly compared. One method uses weight categories with wording that is often received negatively, while the other uses body silhouettes with no wording to describe the silhouettes. Despite the limitations, this study contributes to the gaps in

research related to perceptions of weight among mothers of Mexican heritage. Furthermore, this study adds to the current literature regarding limitations of using weight categories among Mexican-heritage mothers and the potential utility of using silhouettes as a starting point to conversations regarding recommended child weight. Furthermore, the study allowed for comparison of two survey methods with measured BMI percentile categories.

Implications

The results of this study showed that, among Mexican-heritage mothers, the majority underestimated their child's weight using a categorical method or labeling; however, the majority of mothers accurately selected their child's body type after using the silhouette method. Further research is needed to understand parent's opinions of weight labels, whether they perceive the labels as negative, and the possible reluctance of parents to assign a label to their child's weight, especially when it is the label of overweight or obese.^{51,52} Given that Mexican-heritage mothers were more likely to select their child's accurate body type with the silhouettes, future intervention studies, as well as cli-

3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity in the United States. *JAMA* 2014;312:189-190.
4. Gauthier KI, Gance-Cleveland B. Hispanic parental perceptions of child weight in preschool-aged children: An integrated review. *Child Obes* 2015;11:549-559.
5. Albrecht SS, Gordon-Larsen P. Ethnic differences in body mass index trajectories from adolescence to adulthood: A focus on Hispanic and Asian subgroups in the United States. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e72983.
6. Isasi CR, Rastogi D, Molina K. Health issues in Hispanic/Latino youth. *J Lat Psychol* 2016;4:67-82.
7. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. *JAMA* 2014;311:806-814.
8. Bутtenheim AM, Pebley AR, Hsieh K, et al. The shape of things to come? Obesity prevalence among foreign-born vs. US-born Mexican youth in California. *Soc Sci Med* 2013;78:1-8.
9. Pasch LA, Penilla C, Tschann JM, et al. Preferred child body size and parental underestimation of child weight in Mexican-American families. *Matern Child Health J* 2016;20:1842-1848.
10. Estrada E, Eneli I, Hampl S, et al. Children's Hospital Association consensus statements for comorbidities of childhood obesity. *Child Obes* 2014;10:304-317.
11. Yates KF, Larr AS, Sweat V, et al. Hispanic youth with excess weight display psychological distress: Do the youth self-report norms accurately capture this phenomenon? *Hispanic J Behav Sci* 2014;36:195-210.
12. Baker JL, Olsen LW, Sorensen TI. Childhood body-mass index and the risk of coronary heart disease in adulthood. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2329-2337.
13. Akerman A, Williams ME, Meunier J. Perception versus reality: An exploration of children's measured body mass in relation to caregivers' estimates. *J Health Psychol* 2007;12:871-882.
14. Towns N, D'Auria J. Parental perceptions of their child's overweight: An integrative review of the literature. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2009;24:115-130.
15. Crespo NC, Elder JP, Ayala GX, et al. Results of a multi-level intervention to prevent and control childhood obesity among Latino children: The Aventuras Para Niños Study. *Ann Behav Med* 2012;43:84-100.
16. Conlon BA, McGinn AP, Loundsbury DW, et al. The role of parenting practices in the home environment among underserved youth. *Child Obes* 2015;11:394-405.

