

the Draft Supplemental EIR was mailed to all organizations and individuals previously requesting notice and was published in the Long Beach Press Telegram. CSULB provided copies of the complete Draft Supplemental EIR with appendices to the State Clearinghouse, and distributed the Draft Supplemental EIR to all interested state agencies for review and comment. In addition, a narrated video presentation was prepared and made available online as CSULB could not host a public meeting due to the circumstances associated with COVID-19. The video presentation provided an overview of the CEQA process, proposed project, identified environmental impacts, required mitigation measures

written proposed response (hard or electronic copy) to each public agency that submitted written comments on the Draft Supplemental EIR.

Overview of the Final Supplemental EIR

The Final Supplemental EIR consists of the following components, in the following order:

1. List of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the Draft Supplemental EIR;
2. Comments and Responses from persons, organizations, and public agencies;
3. The Draft Supplemental EIR (May 2020) with additions shown in underline and deletions shown in strikethrough; and
4. Additional Appendices, as integrated into the Draft Supplemental EIR Appendices.

Revisions to the Draft Supplemental EIR

The following list summarizes the substantive changes made to the Draft Supplemental EIR since public review. These changes are reflected with additions shown in underline and deletions shown in strikethrough.

Executive Summary

- < An editorial change has been made on page ES-9 to the significance determination and significance after mitigation in Table ES-1, under threshold Energy-2, which was incorrectly listed as “ ~~L e s s t h a n~~ in this table instead of “ ~~N o I m p a c t~~ The impact determination itself has not changed.
- < An editorial change has been made on page ES-10 to the significance determination and significance after mitigation in Table ES-1, under threshold GHG-2, which was incorrectly listed as “ ~~N o I m p a c t~~ of n o t l e s s t a b l e ~~S i g n i f i c~~ determination itself has not changed.
- < A revision has been made on page ES-3 to reflect that the b1g0 g0 G[(sho)3(w)5(n in)3()-276.41 Tr

